Potential Liability for Failure to Adapt to Recurrent Flooding

Applying the Legal Framework of the Farmers Insurance Group’s Lawsuit Against Chicago and Chicago Municipalities to Norfolk and Virginia

Virginia Coastal Policy Clinic Intern
James M. Andris, Jr.
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Legal Framework

1. Negligence
2. Negligence Per Se
3. Unlawful Government Takings
NEGLIGENCE: ESTABLISHING THE MUNICIPALITY’S DUTY

Chicago’s Climate Change Action Plan

- Authorized by Mayor Daley
- Product of a multi-stakeholder task force
- Made several *promises*, including:
  - Development of a new watershed plan, and
  - Additional support to the “aging water infrastructure”
Argument: Formal recognition of climate change and a commitment to act should serve as a factor of foreseeability, leading to the creation of a duty.
NEGligence: Establishing the Municipality’s Duty

Governor’s Commission on Climate Change

- Makes *recommendations*, but still recognizes the dangers of increased severe weather events and climate change
  - e.g., “Stormwater systems will need to be designed to handle larger flows with increased stormwater intensity”
NEGLIGENCE: RELEVANT COMMON LAW DUTY IN VA

- At common law, Virginia municipalities have a *common law duty to maintain sewer systems*
- “There is a municipal liability where the property of private persons is flooded, either directly or by *water* being set back, when this is the result of ... the negligent failure to keep [sewers] in repair and free from obstructions.”

- Robertson v. Western Virginia Water Authority, 287 Va. 158, 752 S.E.2d 875 (2014)
NEGLIGENCE PER SE:

- Virginia Code § 15.2-970-
  - Municipalities “may construct a dam, levee, seawall, or other structure or device . . . the purpose of which is to prevent tidal erosion, flooding or inundation [of the municipality].”
  
  - Also bars “any action at law or suit in equity . . . because of, or arising out of, the design, maintenance, performance, operation or existence of [such systems].”
TAKINGS CLAIM:

- Virginia Constitution Article 1, Section 11-
  - “No private property shall be damaged or taken for public use without just compensation to the owner thereof.”

Relevant Case Law:
THE LIVINGSTON DECISION

- VDOT & Beltway Construction:
  - Straightened river curve,
  - Relocated curve more than 1,000 feet closer to Huntington,
  - Filled in marshes and wetlands with solid soil, and
  - Failed to dredge/maintain the new channel.
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