JUDGE'S SCORE SHEET

ROUND:		TEAMS:vs		JUDGE:	
	0 Needs Improve ment	1 Satisfactory / Good	2 Very Good	3 Excellent	

			Teams:		
Presentation of Client	's Facts Throughout			SCOR	E (0-3)
 Failed to lay out client's position early in negotiation. Little to no organization in presenting facts. Offered unrealistic or disjointed proposals. 	 Clearly articulated client's position early in negotiation. Presented facts in a logical manner. Offered proposals indicative of appropriate planning. 	 Presented client's position through an effective introduction or roadmap. Presented facts in an effective manner. Offered proposals indicative of effective planning. 	 Presented client's position through a compelling introduction or roadmap. Presented facts in a persuasive manner. Offered proposals indicative of effective planning and skillful implementation. 		
Teamwork				SCOR	E (0-3)
 Clear discrepancy in division of responsibilities. Multiple instances of teammates contradicting each other. Argued in presence of the other team. 	 Generally distributed responsibilities evenly. Very few instances of teammates contradicting each other. Appropriate communication in presence of the other team. 	 Divided responsibilities evenly without noticeable disparity. No instances of teammates contradicting each other. Communicated with each other effectively when necessary. 	 Divided responsibilities evenly and in a manner that played to individual strengths. No instances of teammates contradicting each other. Communicated with each other in a strategically savvy manner. 		
Problem-Solving Rela	tionship Building		3	SCORE	(0-3)
 Took an adversarial stance too often. Rejected other team's efforts at compromise. Ignored other team's needs. 	 Rarely took an unwarranted adversarial posture. Remained receptive to other team's efforts at compromise. Remained cognizant of other team's needs. 	 Focused on creating amenable agreements without relying on threats. Proposed compromises throughout. Took affirmative steps to meet other team's needs when possible. 	 Focused on agreement and effectively deescalated adversarial situations. Proposed compromises and converted other team into problem-solvers. Found unique ways to address other team's needs along with their own. 		
Information Gathering and Communications with Other Team				SCOR	E (0-3)
 Asked few if any questions of the other team. Provided evasive or ineffective answers to other team's questions. 	number of questions at the outset.	 Asked effective questions at the outset and throughout. Provided helpful answers to the other team's questions. 	 Asked effective questions and further questioned any evasive answers. Provided helpful answers to other team's questions without compromising client's interests. 		
Generating and Selecting Creative Options				SCOR	E (0-3)
 Failed to generate range of of options. Failed to evaluate options meaningfully. 	 Generated a range of options to meet client's interests. Evaluated competing options throughout. 	 Generated a range of options including creative solutions. Evaluated options and weighed them against alternatives. 	 Generated a range of options including compelling creative solutions. Evaluated options and demonstrated a consistent method for selecting among alternatives. 		

n the Negotiation			SCORI	E (0-3)
 Responded to most adverse facts, though unpersuasive at times. Made notable use of some advantageous facts. If necessary, took a caucus but could have been used at a better time. 	 Had an effective response to nearly every adverse fact. Leveraged advantageous facts effectively and often. If necessary, took a caucus at an appropriate time. 	 Responded to all adverse facts in a persuasive and creative manner. Used advantageous facts in a persuasive and creative manner. If necessary, took a caucus at an appropriate time with a positive effect on trajectory of negotiation. 		
nterests			SCORI	E (0-3)
scope of authority.	scope of authority.	 Demonstrated an advanced understanding of goals. Addressed all goals. All sacrifices were compelling and well-calculated. 		
eam's Skills			SCORI	E (0-2)
 Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. 	 Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with compelling changes for the future. Demonstrated an advanced understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. 			
		Total Team Scores:		
m as winner:				
	Responded to most adverse facts, though unpersuasive at times. Made notable use of some advantageous facts. If necessary, took a caucus but could have been used at a better time. Rarely went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed major goals, but missed some smaller ones. Made some inadvisable sacrifices. Cam's Skills Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation.	 Responded to most adverse facts, though unpersuasive at times. Made notable use of some advantageous facts. If necessary, took a caucus but could have been used at a better time. Rarely went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed major goals, but missed some smaller ones. Made some inadvisable sacrifices. Pemonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. Had an effective response to nearly every adverse fact. Leveraged advantageous facts effectively and often. Mever went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed nearly every goal. Made mostly compelling sacrifices. Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with compelling changes for the future. Demonstrated an advanced understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. 	 Responded to most adverse facts, though unpersuasive at times. Made notable use of some advantageous facts. If necessary, took a caucus but could have been used at a better time. Rarely went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed major goals, but missed some inadvisable sacrifices. Made some inadvisable sacrifices. Never went beyond the scope of authority. Made mostly compelling sacrifices. Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. Total Team Scores: 	 Responded to most adverse facts, though unpersuasive at times. Made notable use of some advantageous facts. If necessary, took a caucus but could have been used at a better time. Rarely went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed major goals, but missed some smaller ones. Made some inadvisable sacrifices. Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. Lad an effective response to nearly every adverse facts. Leveraged advantageous facts in a persuasive and creative manner. Used advantageous facts in a persuasive and creative manner. Used advantageous facts in a persuasive and creative manner. Demonstrate time. Never went beyond the scope of authority. Addressed nearly every goal. Made mostly compelling sacrifices. Demonstrated self-awareness and came up with appropriate changes for the future. Demonstrated an advanced understanding of how their strategy affected the negotiation. Total Team Scores:

Please include a description of any final or partial agreement the teams reached (teams should not be penalized for failing to reach a final agreement):

JUDGE'S REMARKS: Individual Team

Round:	Team Name :	Judge:
Please note, these sheets	s will be provided to any participating teams	that request
copies. REMARKS / NO	OTES:	
Judge's Signature:		

JUDGE'S REMARKS: Individual Team

Round:	Team Name :	Judge:
Please note, these sheets	will be provided to any participating	teams that request
copies. REMARKS / NO	TES:	
Judge's Signature:		